

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,175	04/19/2004	Edgar Bonifer	MAN03 P-116	2415
28101	7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER	
VAN DYKE, GARDNER, LINN AND BURKHART, LLP			RIDLEY, RICHARD	
2851 CHAR P.O. BOX 88	LEVOIX DRIVE, S.E. 38695		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GRAND RA	PIDS, MI 49588-8695	•	3651	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ct			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/827,175	BONIFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Ridley	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered time om the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 August 2004</u> .					
' = '-						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alastian raquiroment		:			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		:			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail		O ₋ 152\			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	гт асент Аррисацоп (РТ	O-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/827,175

Art Unit: 3651

- 3

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species II (fig. 11-15), claims 1-44 and subspecies 'C' claims 33-55 in the reply filed on 8-26-04 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claims 1-44 are replete with error too numerous to point out in their entirety. Below are just a few examples of errors rendering the claims vague and indefinite.
- In claim 1, the word "small" is relative and renders the claim indefinite. What is regarded as "small"?
 - In claims 13, 14, use of the term "possible" renders the claim indefinite.
 - In claim 17, the word "slightly" is relative and renders the claim indefinite.
- Claim 17 recites the limitation "each autonomous conveyor". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claims 13, 16, 18, and all claims using the phrase "can be" are vague and indefinite.

As written the claims only recites what the device *could* be instead of what the device is. As written the claim in unclear.

In claim 27, use of the term "standard" is indefinte.

In claim 28, use of the term "special" is indefinte.

In claim 33, the phrase "profile in the manner of a vehicle tire" is vague and renders the claim indefinite. What constitutes a "profile in the manner of a vehicle tire"?

In claim 34, the phrase "as high as possible" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-29, 33-44, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patrito USP 6,176,367. Patrito discloses all of the claim limitations in a similar device comprising a(n):
- Frame parts (12)
- Load bearing members (fig. 3) which form longitudinally running load-bearing tracks
- At least two laterally spaced load-bearing belts (18) that are toothed
- Modular construction conveying units (fig. 6)
- Load-bearing rollers (22) which are mounted on the load-bearing members (fig. 3)

Application/Control Number: 10/827,175 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

> At least one driving gearwheel (15; fig. 3)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 30, 31, 32, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patrito USP 6,176,367.

Re clm 30, 31, The examiner takes Official Notice of the fact that load-bearing belts at the time of the invention were well known to have been provided with reinforcements such as embedded steel, kevalr or woven material for the purpose of increasing strength of the belt and resisting tension and length changes in the belt resulting therefrom.

Re clm 32, The examiner takes Official Notice of the fact that load-bearing belts at the time of the invention were well known to have been provided with a traction-increasing top side for the purpose of increasing traction with the conveyed article.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley 23 Sept 2004